

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,660	10/23/2003	Heui Seag Park	1594.1243	8931
21171 75	590 , 12/28/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			VAN, QUANG T	
SUITE 700			<u></u>	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3742	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	ST
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0.4
	10/690,660	PARK, HEUI SEA	AG .
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Quang T. Van	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>RCE</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 10-29 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 15,21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-14,16-20 and 23-29 is/are ref. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2005.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Is have been received in Applicat Irity documents have been receive In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/690,660 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 8 and 25 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 11, and 18 of copending Application No. 10/691,595 in view of Pierce (US 4,337,820). The copending Application No. 10/691,595 discloses a cooking cavity (502); an electrical components area (501) partitioned from the cooking cavity (502); a magnetron (503) disposed in the electrical components area (501); a transformer (11) in the electrical components area (501); a container (10) to accommodate the transformer (11), filled with a cooling material (105) to cool the transformer (11), and having base attached to the electrical components area (501). However, the copending Application No. 10/691,595 does not disclose a temperature-sensitive switch electrically connected to the transformer, wherein the temperature-sensitive switch is mounted on an outside of the transformer assembly. Piece discloses a temperature-sensitive switch (32) electrically connected to the transformer (11), wherein the temperature-sensitive switch

Application/Control Number: 10/690,660

Art Unit: 3742

(32) is directly mounted on an outside surface of the transformer assembly (11). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in the copending Application No. 10/691,595 a temperature-sensitive switch electrically connected to the transformer, wherein the temperature-sensitive switch is directly mounted on an outside surface of the transformer assembly as taught by Pierce in order to shut off power when a temperature of the transformer is a predetermined overheating temperature.

Page 3

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 8-10, 19-20, 24-25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallin et al (US 3,819,899) cited by applicant in view of Pierce (US 4,337,820). Wallin discloses a cooking cavity (24); an electrical components area (10) partitioned from the cooking cavity (24); a magnetron (15) disposed in the electrical components area (10); a transformer (18) in the electrical components area (10); a container (10) to accommodate the transformer (18), filled with a cooling material (col. 2, lines 46-48) to cool the transformer (18), and having base attached to the electrical components area (figure 3). However, Wallin does not disclose a temperature-

Art Unit: 3742

sensitive switch electrically connected to the transformer, wherein the temperaturesensitive switch is mounted on an outside of the transformer assembly. Piece discloses a temperature-sensitive switch (32) electrically connected to the transformer (11), wherein the temperature-sensitive switch (32) is directly mounted on an outside surface of the transformer assembly (11). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Wallin a temperaturesensitive switch electrically connected to the transformer, wherein the temperaturesensitive switch is directly mounted on an outside surface of the transformer assembly as taught by Pierce in order to shut off power when a temperature of the transformer is a predetermined overheating temperature. With regard to claim 19, the container is being made of copper or aluminum. Wallin and Pierce do not mention what kind of material that the container is being made. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use copper or aluminum as a material for a container. Doing so would improve cooling of the transformer, since copper and aluminum are good materials for dissipating heat, which is generated from the transformer.

5. Claims 4-5, 7, 11-12, 14, 18 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallin et al (US 3,819,899) cited by applicant in view of Pierce (US 4,337,820) and further in view of Lim (US 5,625,520). Wallin/Pierce disclose substantially all features of the claimed invention except a primary coil in the transformer that receives an input voltage, wherein the temperature-sensitive switch is connected in series to the primary coil of the transformer. Lim discloses, figure 1, a primary coil (L3) in the transformer that receives an input voltage, wherein the

Art Unit: 3742

temperature-sensitive switch (TH) is connected in series to the primary coil (L3) of the transformer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Wallin/Pierce a primary coil in the transformer that receives an input voltage, wherein the temperature-sensitive switch is connected in series to the primary coil of the transformer as taught by Lim in order to detect and disconnect power when a temperature of a surface of the transformer is a predetermined overheating temperature. With regard to claims 4 and 11, Lim discloses International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) regulates that the temperature of the high voltage transformer of the microwave oven should not be over 210°C. Lim does not disclose the overheating temperature ranges form about 80°C to about 150°C. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select overheating temperature ranges form about 80°C to about 150°C, since it has been held that selecting overheating temperature range involves only routine skill in the art. *Inre Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1995).

6. Claims 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallin et al (US 3,819,899) cited by applicant in view of Pierce (US 4,337,820) and further in view of Cooney (US 2,053,944). Wallin/Pierce disclose substantially all features of the claimed invention except the temperature-sensitive switch being connected in series to the secondary coil of the transformer. Cooney discloses temperature-sensitive switch being connected in series to the secondary coil of the transformer (, Figure 4, page 1, col. 2, lines 34-39). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in

Application/Control Number: 10/690,660 Page 6

Art Unit: 3742

Wallin/Pierce temperature-sensitive switch being connected in series to the secondary coil of the transformer as taught by Cooney in order to shut off power when a temperature of a surface of the transformer is a predetermined overheating temperature.

- 7. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallin et al (US 3,819,899) cited by applicant in view of Pierce (US 4,337,820) and further in view of Hay (US 4,523,169). Wallin/ Pierce disclose substantially all features of the claimed invention except a separate bracket attached to the base. Hay discloses a separate bracket (54) attached to the base (56). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Wallin/ Pierce a separate bracket attached to the base as taught by Hay for easy to remove the container when maintenance or repair is needed.
- 8. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallin et al (US 3,819,899) cited by applicant in view of Pierce (US 4,337,820) and further in view of Reed (US 1,571,300). Wallin/ Pierce disclose substantially all features of the claimed invention except the container having corrugated sidewalls. Reed discloses a container having corrugated sidewalls (6, lines 70-72). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Wallin/ Pierce a container having corrugated sidewalls as taught by Reed in order to provide a larger heat dissipating area.
- 9. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallin et al (US 3,819,899) cited by applicant in view of Pierce (US 4,337,820), Reed (US

Application/Control Number: 10/690,660 Page 7

Art Unit: 3742

1,571,300) and further in view of Cronin (US 4,169,965). Wallin/ Pierce/Reed disclose substantially all features of the claimed invention except a cooling fan. Cronin discloses a cooling fan (36). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Wallin/ Pierce/Reed a cooling fan as taught by Cronin in order to draw external cool air into the electrical component area to cool the transformer.

- 10. Claims 15, 21, and 22 are allowed.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not show or suggest the base comprises a plate with two ends, and the base is formed by bending each end of the plate downwardly and inwardly to space the transformer from the surface of the electrical components area by a certain distance as recited in claim 15; an input line connected to the transformer through a top of the container to provide external power to the transformer, and an output line connected to the transformer through the top of the container to provide power to the magnetron, the input line and the output line being connected to the top of the container using epoxy resin to keep the container tightly sealed as recited in claim 21; a terminal unit attached to a top of the container, an input line connected to the transformer through the terminal unit to provide external power to the transformer, and an output line connected to the transformer through the terminal unit to provide power to the magnetron as recited in claim 22.

Response to Amendment

Application/Control Number: 10/690,660

Art Unit: 3742

Page 8

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3-8, 10-29 have been considered

but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 13.

examiner should be directed to Quang T. Van whose telephone number is 571-272-

4789. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am 7:00Pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 22, 2005

Quang T Van

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742